From: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy Sent: 8/16/2012 3:00:28 PM To: White.Terri-A@epamail.epa.gov CC: Subject: Re: Dimock test results hi Terri, Thanks again for your quick reply. No, I don't think I've heard from anyone else at EPA. attention to my requests. --Mark Witmer > Hi Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy > Did anyone else from EPA respond to your previous questions? I forwarded > your email to EPA's Community Involvement Coordinator for the Dimock site, > and was told she'd get back to you. Let me know. I'll forward these most > recent questions as well. -- Terri > From: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy > To: Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US@EPA > Date: 08/16/2012 02:11 PM > Subject: Re: Dimock test results > > > Hello Terry, > I have a couple more questions that I thought I'd send along to add to my > previous... > -Is the total number of wells 57? That's what I came up with scrolling > through the posted > dataset. I > thought I had seen 61 somewhere and wondered if some were not included. > -The trigger threshold of 28 mg/L for methane? I know very little about > methane > "thresholds," but have > seen a lower number for mitigation (10 mg/L). Do you have any info on why > Any information you can provide on any of these questions will be much > appreciated. I'm > preparing for a > town meeting tonight and would like to be as informed as possible. > thanks much, Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy > > I will get back to you, Mark. > > > > > > > > From: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy > To: Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US@EPA > > Date: 08/13/2012 02:11 PM > > Subject: Re: Dimock test results > > > > > > hi Terri, > >

DIM0268488 DIM0268488

```
> > Thanks for your quick reply. I am a private citizen, resident of
> CAroline,
> > NY.
> > I'm just trying to get a solid understanding of the Dimock water
> results.
> > CAn
> > you direct me to someone who can help?
> >
> > thanks, Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
>
 > > Hi Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
> > Are you with a media/news organization? If so, which one? As the EPA
>>> press contact for Dimock, I'm only fielding inquiries from
> > reporters/news
>>> writers. -- Terri
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > From: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
> > To: Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
> > Date: 08/13/2012 01:33 PM
> > > Subject: Dimock test results
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Hello Terri,
> > I have a few questions after examining the well test data from Dimock
 > that
> > I
> > > hope you can help me with...
> > >
> > > 1. I presume testing included all affected homes on Carter Road? Is
> this
> >
> > > true?
> > >
> > 2. How was list of substances selected? I presume appropriate tests
> were
>>> performed for all substances listed in the test results. Is this
> right?
> > >
>>> 3. Do filtered samples reflect the treatment systems currently in
> place?
> >
> > > Can you
 >> tell me how many wells are now filtered and which ones?
 >> 4. Similary, how many wells have methane mitigation? Which ones? (20?)
> > >
>>> 5. Is there information (map) on the distribution of sampled water
> wells
>>> relative to gas wells?
> > >
> > > 6. Why is the trigger for Barium higher than the Primary MCL?
> > >
> > > 7. Glycol -does the caveat on this analysis mean that it wasn't really
> > > examined?
> > >
> > > Thank you.
                 Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
> > Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
> > >
> > This message was sent using Endymion MailMan.
```

DIM0268488 DIM0268489

This message was sent using Endymion MailMan. http://www.endymion.com/products/mailman/

DIM0268488 DIM0268490